

*** *communism is treason!* * *fight it with common sense!***



The Nation's Anti-Communist Newspaper

Common Sense

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:
(24 issues annually)
Plain envelope, unsealed . . . \$1.
Plain envelope, sealed . . . \$3.
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos) \$1.

**"The Truth, the whole Truth,
and nothing but the Truth"**

Without fear or favor,
Conde McGinley
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

VOL. X, No. 250. (10th Year)

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U. S. A., APRIL 15, 1956

FIVE CENTS

Our Drift Toward World Government!

by SENATOR WILLIAM E. JENNER (R. Ind.)

Before the patriotic rally of FOR AMERICA held at Carnegie Hall, New York, N. Y., February 22, 1956

When the War of Independence was won, General Washington resigned his commission, bade his officers farewell, and retired to private life at Mt. Vernon. Like the Roman General Cincinnatus, who returned to his plow, Washington left a standard of devotion to the Republic which has been held high by American military men ever since.

Washington was soon called back to public service in the new government of the United States. He saw in civil life the same danger of too much power in a few hands.

Washington announced his decision not to accept a third term in words which have become immortal. In his farewell Address to the American people, he gave his political ideals for the new nation, especially in the most hazardous of all decisions, its relation with other countries.

For over a hundred and twenty-five years our people followed the testament George Washington left us. We grew strong and prosperous with each passing year.

We Have Surrendered Our Independence Abroad

Today we have turned away from Washington's advice. We are deeply enmeshed in entangling alliances. We have quit our own, to stand on foreign ground. We have surrendered the decisions of life and death for our country, to officials who do not need to ask our approval, and to alien councils where the opinions and needs of other nations carry more weight than ours.

This change was not made by amending our Constitution. It was made chiefly by our foreign policy agencies, in the executive branch, under the court interpretations of treaty law and executive agreements. You remember, Mr. Dulles testified in 1953 that the State Department had already entered into 10,000 executive agreements under the North Atlantic Pact alone. How many agreements have been made by now, under all our pacts, we can not guess.

We Have Surrendered The Sovereignty of The People

First let us review, briefly, the machinery of government under which we enjoyed our great freedom and progress. The Constitution set up a national agency to carry out a few responsibilities, mostly those dealing with other countries. Executive authority was limited to legally established duties, and the laws were made by elected representatives answerable to the sovereign people.

Under our Constitution the American people were masters in their own house. Government officials could be removed from office, if necessary, by the following election day. When everything was going well, people were indifferent to election contests. But when the national security was involved, the American people could act, promptly and decisively, without asking anyone's leave. Our government was no taller than the people, and the sky above us was open and clear.

That is what we mean by Constitutional liberty. But that is not the governmental machinery we have today. We have kept the old forms, but we have superimposed new

(Continued on Page Three)



SENATOR WILLIAM E. JENNER (PATRIOT)

Prinz Refuses To Answer Questions On Talmud!

In filing suit against Conde McGinley, Rabbi Prinz accused Mr. McGinley of attacking him because he was a religious leader. However, when Mr. McGinley's lawyers tried to establish what his religion was, the Judge ruled out completely and entirely any and all reference to his religion. Therefore, Mr. McGinley could not prove to the jury that the TALMUD reeks with anti-Christian filth.

Mr McGinley's attorneys had the complete Soncino edition of the Talmud in court, with top authority ready for proof. Rabbi Prinz acknowledged the Talmud as his guide.

During pre-trial examinations some of the most searching questions were asked of Rabbi Prinz by the attorneys of Conde McGinley (Sept. and Nov. 1954.) The American Jewish Congress were determined the deadly truth revealed in these pre-trial questions would never see the light of day, and worked diligently to make certain they would never be asked before a jury and in the public gaze. If these questions were answered truthfully, Rabbi Prinz would have had no suit. You will see from their nature that Prinz placed himself in an awkward position indeed, when he refused to answer. Before a Congressional Committee, the method of refusing would be by use of the Fifth Amendment.

A few questions directed to Rabbi Prinz while he was under oath:

4-Q What is the position of Judaism with respect to Christianity?

6-Q Isn't it true the Talmud teaches a doctrine of racial superiority—that the Jew is superior to the non-Jew?

7-Q Isn't it true that anti-gentilism is an inherent part of the Judaistic philosophy?

8-Q As a matter of fact, the American

A.J.C. - No Pressure Group ?

The following are excerpts of a letter from Mr. Ray Gill, publisher of the Dover Advance newspaper, one of the eleven printers forced to discontinue printing Common Sense, due to Jewish pressure.

Dover, New Jersey,
September 22, 1949

Dear Mr. McGinley;

Today Mr. B.M. Berla, local attorney, called on me and stated that he represented the Commission on Law and Social Action of the American Jewish Congress, Newark office. He stated that they had traced the printing of Common Sense to us. He demanded that we quit printing for you. I told him that I personally went over all the material and thought it was a thoroughly American paper.

(Continued on Page Four)

Jewish Congress is FINANCING this suit against Mr. McGinley, isn't it?

9-Q ----the expenses of this litigation---- are BEING PAID BY THE A. J. C., are they not? (see issue 248 for details about Senate Bill 2844, which you should support to prevent such anti-Christian persecution from happening again.)

11-Q Mr. Baum----is he one of your attorneys? (Baum is an American Jewish Congress lawyer from the Commission for Law and Social Action.)

41-Q Do you recall that Dr. Stephen S. Wise in 1935, in May stated: "Some call it Marxism, I call it Judaism"?

42-Q Isn't it a fact that Zionism----has been socialistic?

47-Q ----are you familiar with----folio 67a of the Book of Sanhedrin----which portrays Jesus Christ as an "ignoble seducer"?

50-Q ----are you aware that in----the Jewish Encyclopedia the Virgin Mary was (called) an adulteress?

51-Q ----are you familiar with portions-- --which declare Jesus to have been a bastard?

53-Q ----are you familiar with that portion of the Soncino Babylonian Talmud which states that Jesus Christ committed bestiality?

59-Q Are you familiar with that portion-- --which states the rule forbidding adultery with a neighbor's wife EXCLUDES the wife of a heathen or non-Jew?

63-Q Isn't it a fact that numerous practices----condemned----as criminal are condoned and, in fact, advocated by the Babylonian Talmud?

65-Q----are you familiar with the portion --which states that unnatural intercourse

(Continued on Page Two)

Prinz Refuses To Answer

(Continued from Page One)

is excluded from the ban upon violating one's neighbor's daughter?

69-Q—are you familiar with that portion—which condones—pederasty?

75-Q—are you familiar with that portion—which permits a woman—to have intercourse with a beast?

77-Q—are you familiar with that portion—which permits a person to have intercourse with a dead relative?—

79-Q. Would you say that a man could be a Red and still be a Jewish Rabbi?

82-Q—what do you mean when you say that Jesus was a Jew? (Evidently the Rabbis have their own definition—not for publication.)

Many questions have been omitted because of the unprintable filth of the Talmud, the book which Rabbi Kertzer stated was "the textbook used in training of Rabbis." (Look Magazine June 17, 1952).

Rabbi Prinz also refused to answer a series of questions as to his associates—Stephen Wise, Israel (12 Front) Goldstein, Horace M. Kallen, etc.—their Communist affiliations, fronts, etc.—which he refused to answer, because if answered truthfully, he would have been incriminating himself. He also refused to answer if he knew what was meant by swearing on the Bible in court, about the Kol Nidre, and many more questions about the Talmud, which is the greatest compilation of sadism, smut and sexuality on record.

After Rabbi Prinz refused to answer these questions, the attorneys for Mr. McGinley filed for the order to compel answers and waited for the American Jewish Congress' rejoinder to the court. The AJC's brief in the face of the truth cited the Talmud as meriting "brilliant appreciation of the moral values"! Knowing Eisenberg, Baum and Prinz, we were not surprised that this was their idea of moral values!!!

Nevertheless, the attorneys then filed an answer to the court and produced photocopies of the most filthy passages in the Talmud, as incontrovertible proof of the right to ask the questions, which Prinz called "malicious". In the original complaint, Prinz had called himself a "religious" leader, thus "opening the door" to inquire into his "religion". Prinz insisted on identifying himself with the entire Jewish people; McGinley defended himself alone.

Judge Ewart refused to permit any reference to Rabbi Prinz' religion, the Talmud, or his associates. Mr. McGinley's attorneys had documented evidence connecting more than 200 officers, former officers and active members of the American Jewish Congress with Communist or Communist-front activities, but Judge Ewart ruled out any citations of the Un-American Activities Committee, and refused to accept the Attorney General's list of Communist-fronts.

Bear in mind that Rabbi Prinz was Vice President and Chairman of the Executive Board of the American Jewish Congress, Will Maslow, Chief Counsel for the A. J. C., Jerome Eisenberg, attorney and Chairman of the C. S. L. A. of the A. J. C., and Phillip Baum, attorney from the N. Y. office of the A. J. C. were the attorneys representing Rabbi Prinz against Mr. McGinley.



SAMUEL SILVERMAN

Samuel Silverman, Chairman Independent Democrats, pictured here, stated in the court room he was a good friend of Judge Howard Ewart for thirty years, and proved it by going up to the bench and talking to him. Among other things discussed, Silverman was seen riding home with Judge Howard Ewart during the Prinz vs. McGinley trial. Wonder if they talked about the Judge's brother, John?



BENJAMIN H. FREEDMAN

Lecturer and student of World Affairs

During the past ten years Common Sense has published a number of Mr. Freedman's scholarly articles, including eight complete issues. While Mr. Freedman has never held any financial interest in Common Sense, he did in the early years render substantial financial help. During the last three years this fine patriot has been unable to assist us financially, but in making available his vast store of knowledge gained from research, he renders an even more valuable service. Mr. Freedman is available for speaking before large or small groups without charge.

AJC Lawyer Takes 5th Amendment

Joseph B. Robison, staff counsel of the CLSA, took the 5th Amendment when questioned by the Walter Committee last Feb. 21st, 1956. He had been named by Herbert Fuchs as a Communist Party member. (See Walter Committee's Annual Report for 1955, pg. 6)

The American Jewish Congress immediately leaped to Robison's defense. The N. Y. TIMES (2/22/56, pg. 4) carried the AJC's statement: "We are satisfied that Mr. Robison—has whole-heartedly and without any reservation whatsoever fully subscribed to the constitution of the AJC and its program—"

For once we agree with the AJC. Since Robison is staff counsel of the CLSA he is certainly the lawyer who would logically have supervised the over-all strategy of the AJC's suit against Conde McGinley, using Rabbi Prinz as a legal front.

We now understand why Robison, identified Communist Party member, did not openly participate in the Prinz trial. Prinz' AJC associate, Robison, had to be kept out of sight.

Fuchs also identified a Leah Robison as a Communist Party member. Presumably she is a close relative of Joseph Robison.

Joseph Robison and Phillip Baum have handled brief after brief of the CLSA, an example being the amicus curiae brief in a Texas case, Sweatt vs. Painter.

This particular brief lists Prinz as chairman of the Administrative Committee, Simon Sobeloff as a V.P. and member of the National Advisory Board of the CLSA. It also included Red, Louis Adamic (dec.) and identified Communist Party members D. Angus Cameron, (a notorious Communist) Thomas I. Emerson, and Carey McWilliams. Other notorious Communist-fronters on this board include Hubert Delaney, Abe Fortas, Frank P. Graham, Freda Kirchway, Joseph Lash, Max Lerner, Thurgood Marshall of the NAACP, Michael Straight, etc., etc.

WINDOW DRESSING?

The reader should keep in mind that Rabbi Prinz now has a judgment of \$30,000 against Conde McGinley, awarded to him because the jury was not permitted to see all of the real and most convincing evidence. Rabbi Prinz at the time was active V. P. of the American Jewish Congress, V. P. of the World Jewish Congress and President of the N. J. American Jewish Congress. It was at the invitation of Rabbi Stephen S. Wise that Rabbi Prinz came to this country, by his own testimony, and while in Germany he edited a political paper with Dr. Max Nussbaum.

The very red records of both Rabbi Wise and Dr. Nussbaum, have been covered in previous issues of Common Sense, yet Rabbi Prinz stated under oath that the reason he was expelled from Germany was for "defending Jesus Christ from the pulpit."

On the second day of the trial, a certain party in the court room struck up a conversation with Benjamin Freedman, a total stranger to him and stated: "We got this S. O. B. (meaning McGinley) in the bag." In other words, was the trial just window dressing?

Now read this clipping from the Newark News, three months after the trial. We can not at this time tell you ALL WE KNOW, but anyone should be able to put this jig-saw puzzle together.

Sworn in by Brother

newark news 7-2-56
John J. Ewart Becomes Ocean County's Second Full-Time Judge

Special to Newark News.

TOMS RIVER—John J. Ewart, a 60-year-old Toms River lawyer, yesterday was sworn to a five-year term as Ocean County's second judge, with his brother, Superior Court Judge Howard Ewart, officiating.

Ewart, a Democrat, was praised for his ability and character by members of the judiciary and the bar in an hour-long ceremony in the main courtroom. Superior Court Judge Frederick W. Hall, assignment judge, said it was probably the first time in New Jersey history that a judge was sworn by a brother who was a judge.

Ewart, who will receive \$16,000 annually, resigned this week from the Dover Township Committee, a position he had held for more than 12 years. He was born on a farm near Hightstown, Sept. 29, 1894, and was educated at Princeton University.

On the bench for the ceremony were the Ewart brothers, Hall and County Judge Albert S. Larabee. More than 100 persons attended, including Thomas A. Mathis, veteran county GOP boss and his son, State Sen. W. Steelman Mathis, who confirmed Ewart's selection by Gov. Meyner. It is the first time in the 105-year history of the county that ocean has had two full-time judges. The new appointment was made because of the growth of the county and the increase in litigation.

Former county judges attending were Harry E. Newman of Lakewood, Maja Leon Berry, Russell G. Conover and Percy Camp, all of Toms River. Henry Wiley, president of the Ocean County Lawyers Club, and Robert F. Novins, president of the County Bar Assn., congratulated the new judge for their groups.

We have given you a series of five issues dealing with the American Jewish Congress, the most aggressive, Anti-Christian Zionist group and Rabbi Prinz. Now we ask you: if a thing walks like a duck, quacks like a duck, looks like a duck and associates with ducks—isn't it reasonable to assume it is a duck? Those readers who would like a reference file of the American Jewish Congress and the activities of Rabbi Prinz, may purchase this set of five issues for 25¢.

After reading this series, if anyone even so much as thinks Rabbi Prinz and the A. J. C. are red, they are nothing but anti-Semites, Fascists, bigots, hatemongers, rabble-rousers, anti-Negro, anti-Catholic, anti-Protestant, and anti-Jewish, in fact, anti the whole human race!!!

COMMUNIST-SOCIALIST PROPAGANDA IN AMERICAN SCHOOLS

by Verne P. Kaub

This book is a MUST for all those interested in what the nation's youth — tomorrow's leaders — are being taught. Mr. Kaub offers incriminating evidence, found in official NEA publications, proving his contention that so-called progressive education is in reality, subversive education.

Price \$2.50

Read and pass on!

Drift Toward World Govt.

(Continued from Page One)

layers of government on top of them. It is time the American people looked clearly at the new governmental machinery which has grown up above and around our Constitutional system.

NATO Is a Little United Nations

Let us look for example at our European defense system or NATO. I am not going to talk about NATO's accomplishments in organizing military defense for the West. General Gruenther recently admitted that we still do not have enough military power in Western Europe to hold back a Soviet attack, though England, France, Germany and the other nations of Western Europe raised millions of fighting forces, in World War I, and again in World War II.

I have never believed in the concept of regional defense. The Soviet forces are disposed by one grand strategy. Why should we divide our forces? It is folly. I have never believed the Soviet leaders intended to attack Europe. They have won most of Asia without large-scale war. They do not want to take over a Western Europe reduced to ruins by bombing. I even question whether we have not lost more, militarily, by allying ourselves with the colonial nations of Europe than we gained by NATO's armed forces. When five divisions of NATO troops appear in French Africa, to fight against Arabs who are asking for liberty, we lose military strength from Morocco to Pakistan. How much have the Communists gained in Asia, because we are allied with the European colonial powers? For all the billions we have spent on NATO, I see no proof that we are militarily stronger than we were seven or eight years ago.

But NATO is also political machinery. How much is it a threat to liberty here at home?

The Two Faces of the United Nations

You are gradually beginning to see that the United Nations government has two faces. The benevolent face is sometimes called the "Town-Meeting of the World." There, we are told, the nations of the world sit down together, and their spokesmen get ulcers instead of starting wars. The other face of the United Nations is the world sovereignty which is being put together by bits and pieces, through the U.N. Secretariat, the international civil service, the various councils, the affiliated agencies, and the voluntary agencies which revolve as satellites about the U.N. sun.

You are gradually learning by what skillful moves we are being led to accept U.N. policies instead of American policies on foreign affairs, finance, education, medical care, labor and social welfare. You are beginning to see how deeply we have enmeshed our foreign relations, our economy, our political system, our schools, even our churches, with policies made somewhere far above the American government. You know how decisions made high up in the firmament may, by treaty or executive agreement, bind our Supreme Court judges in deciding a case about a private cemetery in Iowa. We face the threat that treaties planned somewhere above our own government may put an end to the rights guaranteed by the Bill of Rights. We have already deprived our servicemen in foreign countries of their Constitutional protection against arbitrary imprisonment.

We are just beginning to realize that the U. N. constitutes a threat to our Constitution and our liberties at home, as great as its threat to our independence as a nation in foreign affairs.

The Two Faces of NATO

Do you know the governing machinery set up in NATO? and how it fits, or supercedes, our Constitution?

You will understand what is happening if you remember that NATO is a small U.N. set up to do everything U.N. does, but for one region only. Like U.N., NATO has two faces. Its familiar face is represented by the military commanders of the western nations,



The United Nations is a cover-up for spies. Let's get the U. S. out of the U. N. and the U. N. out of the U. S.

under the chairmanship of American Generals, like Eisenhower or Ridgeway or Gruenther, sitting down to plan a joint strategy for defense of the West. The second face of NATO is like the U.N.'s super-government. Its task is to help manufacture the "parts" of a world government, and condition the member nations quietly to give up their familiar independence and their unique political ideals. Thus the governmental machinery of the super-state grows stronger and stronger.

NATO is much more than a military alliance. Lord Ismay, the Secretary General, says, the Treaty makes it crystal clear "that the member countries believe they belong to a community of nations within which co-operation should be developed, not for defense, but in all fields." We now have political, economic, welfare and propaganda organs in NATO, above our government and our Constitution. This super-government operates far above the Supreme Commander, who, for show purposes, is an American. It operates far above the American fighting men in Europe whom President Truman made part of NATO's "integrated military forces." You were told at the time that the Supreme Commander wore two hats, one for European forces, one for American, but did you know both hats were under NATO? But such a political community, with governmental organs, is a sovereignty, a super-national sovereignty.

NATO has a permanent executive and an international staff. It has a legislative body. But they do not represent you or me. They represent our executive branch only. Your State Department chooses people who speak for foreign policy in NATO. They report to the State Department. The defense Department and the Treasury choose some, but do not forget the State Department empire rules our spokesmen overseas. If you and I do not like our foreign policies, we the people, may vote one party out of office and another party in, but the vast machinery of NATO is in fact changed not at all.

We can not even find out what is going on. A five year report on NATO was compiled in Nov. 1954. When a few days ago, I asked for later information, I was told it was classified. That means—for executive agencies only.

NATO government agencies "recommend" the proper expenditures for western defense, and the share of each member nation therein. They recommend policies on taxes, inflation, arms production, and economics. What the recommendations are, we in Congress do not know. They are classified.

That is not all. The NATO super government is very much interested in labor, especially the movement of immigrants from country to country. It has recommended that governments "facilitate labor mobility be-

tween their countries." It praised President Eisenhower and the Congress for admitting 209,000 immigrants in addition to quotas, as a means of strengthening the Atlantic Community. The One Worlders believe nations have no right to decide who may be admitted or denied admittance to their country.

NATO is concerned with rules granting work permits to foreigners. It is interested in uniform social security for member countries.

NATO has a special consultant studying the best way for NATO to encourage "cultural cooperation" between countries. In the old days, Americans carried on cultural cooperation by buying a steamship ticket to Europe when they had enough money, or reading magazines like National Geographic, if they did not have much money. But in this epoch of cultural spoon-feeding, we must have a horde of international agencies working for "cultural cooperation." Then we have a committee of NATO set up to find how NATO can get in the act by finding if there are any gaps in this supervision—and filling them!

NATO is making surveys to find out if you are interested in NATO, and if not, why not. It has its film programs, its tours for journalists, its Caravan of Peace, its NATO "newsletter" and its NATO "West Point" for training and indoctrination of the armed forces.

Politically NATO shows the means by which the One World super-state is being "assembled" from above through the U.N. and horizontally in NATO, SEATO, and the Organization of American States, which has replaced the Pan American Union and put the Monroe Doctrine firmly under the United Nations.

I do not say for a moment that the Generals and Admirals who are assigned to NATO take a part in this carefully planned campaign to make us part of One World government by regional compacts. Quite the contrary. They are probably kept so busy they have no time to learn about it.

But some people—probably only a few people—in our government do know about it, because they planned it that way.

Only Congress Can Stop World Government

Do you seriously believe that, if another Korean war broke out, we the people, or the people's representatives in Congress, would be allowed by NATO or SEATO or U.N. to decide on a truly American policy to protect ourselves?

Let me ask you this. Do you like world government? Do you wish to lose forever the power of the American people and their Congress to choose our destiny as a nation?

Do you like this governmental superstructure above your Constitution, above your Congress, your President, and your courts, controlling your fighting men, your economic life, your immigration, and your cultural cooperation with other nations, through thousands of agreements we never see? Do you really want global social welfare programs in place of the American Bill of Rights?

The People Must Fight For a Nationalist Congress

If you don't like this drift to world government, just remember one thing. You will have to stop. It will not stop of itself. You will have to do something.

First let me tell you how not to end World Government. In 1954, out of 100 million eligible voters, 58 million stayed at home. Probably the great majority of those who stayed home were Constitutionalists. But I say to you that those Americans who stay home on Election Day, because they do not like the nominees, are helping internationalism. They are the best friends the One Worlders have.

What then? You know no President can solve this problem. Every president since 1933 has been the captive of the governmental elite. The Supreme Court will not do anything. The only political power left in

(Continued on Page Four)

Why Change Names?

(The cancerous growth of aliases.)

Why does the straightforward, sportsman-like, candid, hardhitting American continue to accept and tolerate—or in fact allow, the continued use of aliases instead of people's square names?

Only in the most justifiable cases of difficulty of pronunciation should people be allowed to change their names. To trade, own property, hold official positions in our government and armed services, meet people socially, marry, contract, or exercise all the ordinary acts and functions of a free and civilized society, they should use the names of their birth and official registry at birth.

For what honest purpose is a deceptive name assumed and acted under? Isn't a fraudulent name as deceitful and dishonest as a deceptive credit rating or a fraudulent bank statement, or willful misinformation on a pertinent fact in a business deal?

Isn't a deceptive name primarily designed to hide or conceal something, either about the person employing it, or their record, origin, or background? Isn't it employed willfully with the design to make the person appear what he is not?

Is there basically any difference in ethics or dishonesty, between a person moving about among his fellow men, under a false name, and a ship sailing under false colors; or a soldier wearing civilian clothes behind the enemy lines at time of war?

Why this increasing wholesale change of names? Who is doing it? For what reason? Do we as a nation and a citizenry benefit by its continuance and its growth?

We never hear of a McNulty, a MacPherson, an Archbald, a La Prade, a Hohenloe, a Giovanni, a Hernandez, or a Ben Ali Hamud ever changing their names—or for that matter, a Matsui, a Chib Lee, or a Romulo. And what's so hard to pronounce about Cohen, Ginsberg or Levy?

Why Did These People Change Their Names?

Lauren Bacall.....(Betty Bernstein)
Benny Baker.....(Benjamin Zifkin)
Binnie Barnes.....(Gittel)
Walter Barry.....(Isadore Eisenstadt)
Theda Bara.....(Goodman)
Milton Berle.....(Berlinger)
Jack Benny.....(Benny Kubelsky)
Irving Berlin.....(Isadore Baline)
J. Edw. Bromberg.....(Bromberger)
Pearl S. Buck.....(Sydenstricker)
George Burns.....(Nathan Bernbaum)
Eddie Cantor.....(Izzie Iskowitz)
Sue Carol.....(Evelyn Lederer)
Hattie Carnegie.....(Fanny Kanengeiser)
Jeff Chandler.....(Ira Grossel)
Charlie Chaplin.....(Israel Thonstein)
Aaron Copland.....(Kaplan)
Tony Curtis.....(Bernard Schwartz)
Melvyn Douglas.....(Hesselberg)
Kirk Douglas.....(Isadore Demsky)
Douglas Fairbanks.....(Ullman)
Arnold Foster.....(Fastenberg)
William S. Gailmore.....(Wm. Margolis)
Jacob Golos.....(Raisin)
John Garfield.....(Julius Garfinkle)
Judy Garland.....(Gumm)
John Gates.....(Israel Reigenstreif)
Mark Gayn.....(Julius Ginsberg)
Samuel Goldwyn.....(Goldfish)
Dorothy Gordon.....(Dorothy Lerner)
Barry Gray.....(Benjamin Yaroslovsky)
Gilbert Green.....(Gilbert Greenberg)
Harry Green.....(Henry Blitzer)
Gus Hall.....(Hallberg)
Mellisa Hayden.....(Mildred Herman)
Judy Holliday.....(Judith Turin)
Al Jolson.....(Asa Yollson)
Danny Kaye.....(Kaminsky)
Hedy Lamarr.....(Keisler)
Piper Laurie.....(Rosetta Jacobs)
Miss Ray Lev.....(Ray Elihu)
Jerry Lewis.....(Levitch)
Mary Livingston.....(Steinberg)

Magda Lupescu.....(Wolff)
Buddy Morrow.....(Muni Zudicoff)
Paula Morgan.....(Miriam Speigelman)
Boris Morros.....(Moroz)
Ethel Merman.....(Zimmerman)
Paul Muni.....(Weisenfreund)
Jan Murray.....(Murray Janofsky)
David K. Niles.....(David K. Neyhus)
Wm. Paley.....(Palinsky)
Dorothy Parker.....(Rothschild)
Parkyakarkus.....(Harry Einstein)
Dr. Wm. Perl.....(Utterperl)
Jan Peerce.....(Pincus Perelmuth)
J. Peters.....(Goldberger or Boorstein)
Matyas Rakosi.....(Rosencranz)
John Randolph.....(Mortimer Lipman)
Erich Maria Remarque.....(Kramer)
Elmer Rice.....(Reizenstein)
Ed. G. Robinson.....(Emanuel Goldenberg)
Billy Rose.....(Wm. Samuel Rosenberg)
Artie Shaw.....(Strauss)
Sylvia Sidney.....(Sophie Kaskow)
Sid Silvers.....(Silverstein)
Elaine Stewart.....(Steinberg)
Jack Stachel.....(Jacob Stachel)
I. F. Stone.....(Isadore Feinstein)
Elliot Sullivan.....(Eli Solomon)
Mike Todd.....(Avrom Hirsch Goldbogen)
Sophie Tucker.....(Albruzza-Kalish)
Walter Wanger.....N(Feuchwanger)
Harry Dexter White.....(Harry Weiss)
Walter Winchell.....(Isadore Lipschitz)
Carl Winter.....(Phillip Weissberg)
Nathan Witt.....(Witkowsky)
Keenan Wynne.....(Frank Leopold)

RABBI VERIFIES TALMUD

Rabbi Morris N. Kertzer in the article "WHAT IS A JEW" in Look Magazine issue dated June 17th, 1952, stated the following:

The Talmud consists of 63 books of legal, ethical and historical writings of the ancient Rabbis. It is the legal code which forms the basis of Jewish religious law and it is the textbook used in the training of Rabbis.

"The TORAH and the TALMUD are the root of the spiritual development of JUDAISM."

The TALMUD is for the Jew the leader of his daily life. The TALMUD thus contains a mighty power of supporting the people of Israel. The TALMUD is essential for the existence of JUDAISM."

B'nai B'rith Messenger, Los Angeles, California. May 3, 1940.

100 RED SCHOOLS DISCOVERED

Newspapers of March 2, 1956 announce an amazing disclosure that there are operating in the U. S. 100 Communist schools for children. These were set up by the Communist-front, International Workers Order Inc., which has been disbanded by the government. The government filed in the Philadelphia District court a series of actions to seize assets of the schools and so-called cultural clubs. Similar suits were filed in New York, Newark, N. J., and Connecticut. These court papers claim that some of the schools were operated, guided and supervised by the Jewish Peoples Fraternal Order of the I. W. O., Inc.

Common Sense has confidential documents of the American Jewish Congress, showing that Rabbi Prinz, while Chairman of the Executive Committee of the American Jewish Congress, on Oct. 28, 1938, in the Hotel Pennsylvania, presided over a meeting at which Albert Kahn, Communist, and publisher of Harvey Matusow's Communist book, offered a resolution as a representative of a National Affiliate to the Executive Committee of the JEWISH PEOPLES FRATERNAL ORDER.

Drift Toward World Govt.

(Continued from Page Three)

this country which could stop the drift to world government is the Congress.

The reason is simple. You, the people, can get any kind of a Congress you decide to work for.

If you do nothing, Congress will become increasingly a rubber-stamp for one world-ism. If you want an American Congress, you can get it.

You will have to tell your political leaders in both parties to nominate, for Congress, only those who will put America first. They will pay attention to you, if they think you mean business.

Don't tell me you don't know who your political leaders are. Find out. If you pay no attention to them, why should they pay attention to you?

Political party leaders, by controlling nominations, have power over who will draft your sons, how much of your money will be squandered by the welfare state, whether your country is going to remain free. The left-wingers, the Communists and the One-Worlders, know who are the party leaders. How much time is it worth to you to find out who they are?

Tell some one in your party you want no one nominated for Congress who does not put America first. Tell them internationalism, globalism, and one world-ism must go. Tell them you, the people, are going to stand watch, every day of the year, to make sure they put none but Constitution-ists on guard in Congress.

If you do your part, the Star-Spangled Banner, instead of waving over a province in a One World Government, will once again proudly wave over the land of the free and the home of the brave.

The most revealing book on the Talmud is THE PLOT AGAINST CHRISTIANITY by Elizabeth Dilling, in two parts. Every student of Communism should have these books, worth ten times the price.

Part I- Commentary-Index to Part II...\$1.25
Part II- 299 Photo-stats of authentic Judaica, many from the Talmud. ...\$1.25

order from
Christian Educational Association
Union, New Jersey

Entered as Second Class Matter, January 27, 1948 at the Post Office at Union, N. J., under the act of March 3, 1879.

AJC No Pressure Group?

He said, regardless, the American Jewish Congress considered it anti-Semitic, and I gathered that if we did not agree to cease printing Common Sense, advertising would be withdrawn from our paper, The Dover Advance. During the talk, he mentioned Rabbi Samuel Adelman's name several times.

On September 26, 1949, Mr. Gill wrote again to Mr. McGinley, and excerpts of this letter follow:

Mr. Berla called again today and made a second visit. When you and I talked after I received the demand, I never dreamed I'd run out on any situation like this without some fight. However, after discussing this situation with the family, we have no alternative but to retire as your printer.

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U. S. A.
Conde McGinley, Editor

\$1 for 30 copies, \$3 for 100, \$10 for 500
One year's subscription, \$1. Three years for \$2.50
First Class (Sealed)—\$3.00 per year
FOREIGN 10 MONTHS \$1.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly at
530 Chestnut St. Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.
*Trade Mark Registered United States Patent Office